

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 1778

09/938,699

08/23/2001

Raghavendra S. Prabhu

42390P12533

8791

7590

05/08/2003

**BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN** 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

MAY 1 3 2003

**EXAMINER** 

SINGH, RAMNANDAN P

(0)

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2644

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP LOS ANGELES

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TERED **MAY 1 5** 2003

**COSTA MESA** 

ENTEDED

IAY 1 4 2003

TATUS UP

Date 8/8/2003 Client: Intel Corporation **Docket Initials** 42390.P12533 Dock. Sup. Initials **Atty Initials** EHT WWS WEA ETK Pat/Ser/Reg 938,699 Х **Description:** Response due

5/14/2003

Natalie Adair

		64
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	09/938,699	PRABHU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dr. Ramnandan Singh	2644
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	<u> August 2001</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-96</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2002</u> is/are:		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110/a	) (d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 0.5.6. § 119(a	,-(d)
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
		on No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application.</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	• .
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	• •	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Drawings

- 1. The drawings filed on 08 January 2002 are acceptable subject to correction of the informalities indicated on the attached "Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review," PTO-948. In order to avoid abandonment of this application, correction is required in reply to the Office action. The correction will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

Claim 1 recites a limitation "automatic gain control (AGC) to normalize the power of the tone or voice signal" on page 63, lines 8-9. The <u>normalizing AGC</u> has not been shown. Claim 3 recites a limitation "Goertzel filters compute the energy levels of tone or voice signals at 16 specific frequencies" on page 63, lines 1-2. The <u>16 specific frequencies</u> with respect to the Goertzel filters must be shown. A similar thing holds for claim 4. Further, claim 27 recites a limitation "utilizing an elliptical Infinite Impulse Response (IIR) Filter" on page 67, lines 10-11. The elliptical Infinite Impulse Response (IIR) Filter has not been shown. Therefore, the normalizing AGC, the 16 specific frequencies, the four signal processing units,... etc. must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2644

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, 14, 16-18, 59, 61-63, 72, 74-75, 84, 86-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartkowiak et al [US 5,809,133] in view of Quiros [US 5,604,771].

Regarding claims 1, 14, 59, 72, 84, Bartkowiak et al teaches a method and a Device for detecting multi-frequency tones in a telephone system; wherein tone detector 102 comprising codec 104, and DSP 106. The DSP 106 and codec 104 are comprised on a **single semiconductor silicon chip** [col. 6, lines 32-41]. The detector 102 further includes a **memory** 108, **computer readable media**, comprising a RAM (random access memory) and a ROM (read-only memory), coupled to the DSP which is used by the DSP 106 for storage and retrieval of data. The program (i.e. executable instructions) is stored in the ROM before loading into RAM [col. 6, line 53 to col. 7, line 10]. The DSP 106 receives the digital samples and applies the Goertzel filters to determine the energy of a tone or voice signals at specific frequencies [Figs. 1-12; col. Col. 6, lines 32-52]. The received signals may include DTMF of MTMF tone signals, one or more speech signals and/or noise [col. 3, lines 26-36]. Fig. 2 provides a flowchart

Art Unit: 2644

diagram to detect tone signals [col. 7, line 11 to col. 8, line 12]. Further, Bartkowiak et al teaches **multiplying** the respective A(n) value of A(1) – A(16) with a **respective gain**. These multipliers correspond to the **gain adjustment** in step 126 of Fig. 2 [col. 9, lines 10-15]. The DSP 106 performs the gain adjustment as shown in Fig. 3.

Bartkowiak et al does not teach expressly perform automatic gain control(AGC) to normalize the power of tone or voice signal.

Quiros teaches using a dula tone detector block 601 using a Goertzel's algorithm [col. 19, lines 37-63]. Further, Quiro applies the AGC to normalize the signal as shown in Fig. 7 [col. 20, lines 10-31; col. 24, lines 21-62]

Bartkowiak et al and Quirosl are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, viz., tone detection in telecommunications using a Goertzel's algorithm.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the normalizing gain factor N of Quiros to the Bartkowiak's Goertzel filter as a multiplier shown in Fig. 3.

Art Unit: 2644

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce disproportionate variations in the amplitudes of tone signals due to various arithmetic operations performed in computation [Quiros; col. 20, lines 10-23].

Regarding claims 3, 16, 61, 74, 86, Bartkowiak et al teaches applying Goertzel filters, GDFT (1)...GDFT(16), to compute the energy levels of tone or voice signals at 16 specific frequencies as shown in Fig. 3.

Regarding claims 4, 17, 62, 87, Bartkowiak et al teaches applying four signal processing units to execute Goertzel filters as shown in Fig. 7.

Regarding claims 5, 18, 63, 75, 88, Bartkowiak et al teaches applying Goertzel filters to determine maximum values of the energy levels for each of two or more frequency groups [col. 4, lines 10-21; col. 7, lines 33-50].

5. Claims 6, 19, 64, 76, 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Bartkowiak et al and Quiros as applied to claims 1, 5, 14, 18, 59, 63, 72, 84, 88 above, and further in view of Johanson [US 6,381,330 B1].

Regarding claims 6, 19, 64, 76, 89, the combination of Bartkowiak et al and

Art Unit: 2644

Quiros does not teach expressly discriminating whether the tone is a single tone, a dual tone, silence, or another type of tone.

Johanson teaches a tone detection method for detecting whether the tone is a single tone, a dual tone, silence (or a lack of a signal response), or another type of tone in a signal Fig. 4; col. 1, lines 44-62; col. 7, line 45 to col. 8, line 24].

Bartkowiak et al, Quiros and Johanson are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, viz., tone detection in telephonic communications.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the tone detection technique of Johanson with the Bartkowiak et al and Quiros system.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to detect alerting CPE tones or other tones relating to the reception of call without the need for annoying muting intervals [Johanson; col. 2, lines 62-67].

6. Claims 2, 6-7, 15, 19-20, 60, 64-65, 73, 76-77, 85, 89-90, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Bartkowiak et al and Quiros as applied to claims 1, 5, 14, 18, 59, 63, 72, 84, 88 above, and further in view of Hardy et al [US 5,448,624].

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding claims 2, 6, 15, 19, 60, 64, 73, 76, 85, 89, the combination of Bartkowiak et al and Quiros does not teach expressly determining whether the tone is one of a dial tone, a busy tone, a fast busy tone, a ringing tone, or a fax tone.

Hardy et al teaches call progress tone detector 54 to determine whether the tone is one of a dial tone, a busy tone, a fast busy tone, a ringing tone, or a fax tone [col. 6, lines 29-48; col. 10, lines 6-11; col. 10, lines 23-36; col. 11, line 55 to col. 12, line 11; col. 22, lines 14-26; col. 28, lines 52-63; col. 35, lines 64-66; col. 36, lines 46-48]. , Hardy et al also discloses a silence detection type representing a lack of a signal response [col. 21, lines 29-53], a DTMF tones or any other type of tones received from phone lines [col. 6, lines 21-29].

Bartkowiak et al, Quiros and Hardy et al are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, viz., tone detection in telephonic communications.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the tone detection capability for various tones of Hardy et al with the Bartkowiak et al and Quiros system.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide call progress evaluation of a telephone network [ Hardy et al; col. 1, lines 10-17; col. 2, lines 33-37].

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding claims 7, 20, 65, 77, 90, Hardy et al teaches a computer controlled system interfaced with the telephone network that allows a user to define various tone signal profiles in a DETECT.DAT signature block, and thereby identifying virtually **any type of telephone exchange response signals**. This signature block works as a user defined dictionary of tones to determine a tone by identifying the tone in the dictionary [col. 16, lines 42-63; col. 18, lines 19-39; col. 28, lines 52-63].

7. Claims 8-13, 21-26, 66-71, 78-83, 91-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Bartkowiak et al, Quiros and Hardy et al as applied to claims 7, 20, 64, 76, 90 above, and further in view of Basehore [US 4,570,360].

Regarding claims 8-11, 21-24, 66-69, 78-81, 91-94, the combination of Bartkowiak et al, Quiros and Hardy et al does not teach expressly updating a state to "tone state" (i.e. tone on) using a state counter and ON/OFF cadence values.

Basehore teaches identifying three general states, including (a) an update state, (b) a cadence state, and (c) a tone generation state [col. 7, lines 17-30]. Further, Basehore applies a state counter 53 to identify a third state, a "tone state" [col. 8, lines 28-48] and uses an on/off cadence for transmission of tones with a counter 70 [Figs. 3A-3D; col. 2, line 49 to col. 3, lines 3; col. 5, line 58 to col. 6, line 8; col. 9, lines 30-44].

Art Unit: 2644

Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Hardy et al and Basehore are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, viz., tone detection in telephonic communications.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the tone generation and transmission system for digital tone words of Basehore with the Bartkowiak et al. Quiros system, and Hardy et al.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide a capability to iteratively generate digital tone words of a multiplicity of frequencies in a number of different cadences and to transmit them in defined sequential time slots for use by a telephone switching system [col. 1, lines 20-25].

Regarding claims 12-13, 25-26, 70-71, 82-83, 95-96, Hardy et al teaches using a silence detection type and the DETECT.DOT signature blocks with seven cadences [col. 21, lines 29-55; col. 22, lines 34-42; col. 28, lines 16-51; col. 33, lines 10-33].

8. Claims 27, 29-35 and 43, 45-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartkowiak et al [US 5,809,133] in view of Quiros [US 5,604,771], and further, in view of Tulai [US 5,563,942], and further, in view of Scott et al [US 6,212,374 B1].

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding claims 27 and 43, Bartkowiak et al teaches a method and a device for detecting multi-frequency tones in a telephone system; wherein tone detector 102 comprising codec 104, and DSP 106. The DSP 106 and codec 104 are comprised on a single semiconductor silicon chip [col. 6, lines 32-41]. The detector 102 further includes a memory 108, computer readable media, comprising a RAM (random access memory) and a ROM (read-only memory), coupled to the DSP which is used by the DSP 106 for storage and retrieval of data. The program (i.e. executable instructions) is stored in the ROM before loading into RAM [col. 6, line 53 to col. 7, line 10]. The DSP 106 receives the digital samples and applies the Goertzel filters to determine the energy of a tone or voice signals at specific frequencies [Figs. 1-12; col. Col. 6, lines 32-52]. The received signals may include DTMF of MTMF tone signals, one or more speech signals and/or noise [col. 3, lines 26-36]. Fig. 2 provides a flowchart diagram to detect tone signals [col. 7, line 11 to col. 8, line 12]. Further, Bartkowiak et al teaches multiplying the respective A(n) value of A(1) - A(16) with a respective gain. These multipliers correspond to the gain adjustment in step 126 of Fig. 2 [col. 9, lines 10-15]. The DSP 106 performs the gain adjustment as shown in Fig. 3.

Bartkowiak et al does not teach expressly perform automatic gain control(AGC) to normalize the power of tone or voice signal; utilizing an elliptical IIR filter; and detecting whether the tone is a modem tone or echo disabling tone.

Art Unit: 2644

Quiros teaches using a dula tone detector block 601 using a Goertzel's algorithm [col. 19, lines 37-63]. Further, Quiro applies the AGC to normalize the signal as shown in Fig. 7 [col. 20, lines 10-31; col. 24, lines 21-62].

Tulai teaches digital call progress tone detection utilizing an elliptical IIR filter [col. 5, lines 1-5].

Scott et al teaches disabling of echo cancellers when initiating a telephone call via modem 100 [col. 5, lines 54-64].

Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, viz., tone detection in telecommunications.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the normalizing gain factor N of Quiros to the Bartkowiak's Goertzel filter as a multiplier shown in Fig. 3; the elliptical IIR filter of Tulai as a low pass filter; and the echo canceler disabling signal of Scott et al after call startup.

The suggestion/motivation for applying the normalizing gain factor N would have been to reduce disproportionate variations in the amplitudes of tone signals due to various arithmetic operations performed in computation [Quiros; col. 20, lines 10-23]; utilizing the elliptical IIR filter for distinguishing call progress tones from other signals

Art Unit: 2644

such as speech [Tulai; col. 1, lines 9-11]; and applying the echo canceller disabling signal to prevent adverse disruption of digital data communications over cellular networks [Scott et al; col. 1, lines 17-20].

Regarding claims 29 and 45, Bartkowiak et al teaches using the four signal processing units shown in Fig. 7 that can also execute the elliptical IIR filter simultaneously.

Regarding claims 30-35 and 46-51, Scott et al teaches an echo canceller disabling system to deactivate the voice echo cancellers, wherein the echo canceller disabling system is configured to transmit a tone at approximately 2100Hz with 180 degree phase reversals to disable one or more echo cancellers brought on-line after the call startup using modem 100 [Abstract; Figs. 3-4; col. 5, lines 54-64; col. 6, lines 22-62].

9. Claims 28 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al as applied to claims 27 and 43 above, and further in view of Hardy et al [US 5,448,624].

Regarding claims 28 and 44, the combination of Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al does not teach expressly determining whether the tone is one of a dial tone, a busy tone, a fast busy tone, a ringing tone, or a fax tone.

Art Unit: 2644

Hardy et al teaches call progress tone detector 54 to determine whether the tone is one of a dial tone, a busy tone, a fast busy tone, a ringing tone, or a fax tone [col. 6, lines 29-48; col. 10, lines 6-11; col. 10, lines 23-36; col. 11, line 55 to col. 12, line 11; col. 22, lines 14-26; col. 28, lines 52-63; col. 35, lines 64-66; col. 36, lines 46-48]. , Hardy et al also discloses a silence detection type representing a lack of a signal response [col. 21, lines 29-53], a DTMF tones or any other type of tones received from phone lines [col. 6, lines 21-29].

Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al and Hardy et al are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, viz., tone detection in telephonic communications.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the tone detection capability for various tones of Hardy et al with the Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al system.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide call progress evaluation of a telephone network [ Hardy et al; col. 1, lines 10-17; col. 2, lines 33-37].

10. Claims 36-41 and 52-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al as

Art Unit: 2644

applied to claims 27, 32, 43, and 48 above, and further in view of Gilbert [US 6,172,985 B1].

Regarding claims 36-41 and 52-57, the combination of Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al teaches a low pass filter selectes from a bank of 10 IIR elliptical filters [Tulai; col. 5, lines 1-5]. However, the combination of Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al does not teach expressly distinguishing Fax V.21 tone from other tones.

Gilbert reaches performing fax sessions using a digital signal processor in conjunction with a data access arrangement (DAA) equipped with an AT & T Fax High-Speed data pump chip set. The data pump chip set conforms to the telecommunications in CCITT recommendations V.32bis, V.32, V.22bis, V.22, V.23, V.21 [col. 5, line 59 to col. 6, line 11]. Further, Gilbert discloses using POTS DAA circuit 30 and DSP 42 in its POTS mode that performs functionality such as modulation and demodulation [col. 5, lines 41-58; col. 5, lines 5-40].

Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al and Gilbert are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, viz., tone detection in telephonic communications.

Art Unit: 2644

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the tone detection capability for various tones including fax V.21tone of Gilbert with the Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al system.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide remote communications including fax service in conjunction with the use of computers [Gilbert; col. 1, lines 11-17; col. 2, lines 4-11].

11. Claims 42 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai, Scott et al and Gilbert as applied to claims 27, 41, 43, and 57 above, and further in view of Lee et al [US 6,023,470].

Regarding claims 42 and 48, the combination of Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai, Scott et al and Gilbert does not teach expressly using a fax message three consecutive times to confirm a Fax V.21 tone.

Lee et al teaches conducting a fax session using a Fax Signal Register (FSR) that maintains a counter to reflect the number of times a control message a control message has been sequentially transmitted. This information is used by the FSR to enforce the "tree strikes you're out" T.30 retransmission limit on the T30E [col. 54, lines

Art Unit: 2644

19-29; col. 57, lines 34-39; col. 45, line 27 to col. 46, line 51; col. 6, lines 12-33; col. 9, lines 1-10].

Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai and Scott et al, Gilbert and Lee et al are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, viz., tone detection in telephonic communications.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the Fax Signal Register (FSR) with a **counter** of Lee et al with the Bartkowiak et al, Quiros, Tulai, Scott et al and Gilbert system.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to enforce the "three strikes you're out" T.30 **retransmission limit requirement** on the T30E [Lee et al; col. 54, lines 19-29].

#### Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Ramnandan Singh whose telephone number is (703)308-6270. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester Isen can be reached on (703)-305-4386. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2644

Page 17

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9314 for regular communications and (703)872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-0377.

Dr. Ramnandan Singh Examiner

Art Unit 2644

April 28, 2003

FORESTER W. ISEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - Patent and Trademark Office Application No.

#### NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

The drawing(s) filed (insert date) ( C ) ( arc:
The drawing(s) filed (insert date) 1
A. Dapproved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.  B. Dapproved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 for the reasons indicated below. The Examiner will require
submission of new, corrected drawings when necessary. Corrected drawing must be sumitted according to the instructions on the back of this notice,

submission of new, corrected drawings when necessary. Corrected draw	wing must be sumitted according to the instructions on the back of this notice
1. DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings:  Black ink. Color.  Color drawings are not acceptable until petiton is granted.  Fig(s)  Pencil and non black ink not permitted. Fig(s)  PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84(b)  1 full-tone set is required. Fig(s)  Photographs may not be mounted. 37 CFR 1.84(c)  Poor quality (half-tone). Fig(s)  3. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(c)  Paper not flexible, strong, white, and durable.  Fig(s)  Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations, folds, copy machine marks not accepted. Fig(s)  Mylar, yelum paper is not acceptable (too (hin).  Fig(s)	8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)  Words do not appear on a horizontal, left-to-right fashion when page is either upright or turned so that the top becomes the right side, except for graphs. Fig(s)  9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k)  Scale not large enough to show mechanism without crowding when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in reproduction.  Fig(s)  10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS.  37 GFR 1.84(i)  Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well defined, death, durable in black (poor line quality).  Fig(s)  11. SHADING, 37 CFR 1.84(m)  Solid black areas pale. Fig(s)
4. SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable sizes:  21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4)  21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8 1/2 x 11 inches)  All drawing sheets not the same size.  Sheet(s)  Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fin(s)	Solid black shading not permitted. Fig(s) Shade lines, pale, rough and blurred. Fig(s)  12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS. 37 CFR 1.84(p) Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible.
Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s)  5. MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:  Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm	Fig(s)  Figure legends are poor. Fig(s)  Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the same direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1)  Fig(s)  English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2)  Figs  Numbers, letters and reference characters must be at least .32 cm (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3)  Fig(s)  LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)  Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s)  Lead lines missing. Fig(s)  14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(t)  Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals beginning with number 1. Sheet(s)  15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)  Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals, beginning with number 1. Fig(s)  16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w)  Corrections not made from prior PTO-948 dated  17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152  Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s)  Solid black shading not used for color contrast.  Fig(s)
COMMENTS	

REVIEWER_	$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L})$	DATE_	V17 U1 (PTELEPHONE NO.
	•	·	1.011

ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NO.



## Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

#### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

#### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

## 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

#### Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/938,699 Examiner Dr. Ramnandan Singh Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination PRABHU ET AL. Art Unit Page 1 of 1

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5809133-A	09-1998	Bartkowiak et al	379
	В	US-5563942-A	10-1996	Tulai	379
	С	US-6212374-B1	04-2001	Scott et al	455
	D	US-6172985-B1	01-2001	Gilbert	370
	E	US-6023470-A	02-2000	Lee et al	370
	F	US-6381330-B1	04-2002	Johanson	379
	G	US-5604771-A	02-1997	Quiros	375
	H	US-4570260-A	02-1986	Basehore	370
	1	US-5448624-A	09-1995	Hardy et al	379
	٦	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

#### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	v	
	w	
	х	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.



bstitute	for Form	1 1449A/PTO (Modified)		
				ete if Known
1111	OKIV	IATION DISCLOSURE	Application Number	09/938,699
/ ST	ATER	MENT AY APPLICANT	Filing Date	08/23/2001
	/ (use	as many sheeks as necessary)	First Named Inventor:	Raghavendra S. Prabhu
<i>[</i> -	. APR	(3 0 2002 🗓)	Group Art Unit	2643
Sheet	2 7	2	Examiner Name	Unassigned
	- 4,5	TRACEMA	Attorney Docket Number	042390.P12533
	T	OTHER ART - NO PATENT L	ITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No <sup>1</sup>	I S. VARADA AND R. SANKAD Hardwar	city and/or country where public	page(s), volume-issue shed
i		Electrical Eng. University of South Florid	a, Tampa, F(\33820, Publish	etection, Department of
			1/1	160-67-03-95.
		1 / rot 1/a	y very	
-				
	<del></del>			j j
		\		
			\	
				\
ŀ				
				_
	ļ			REA
		\ ·		CEIL
				MAY
	1		L.	Chnor 3 200
				Con Con
				Ciller 260
				PECEIVE Schnology Center 2600
			\	
aminer gnature		m Sigh	Date 4 Considered	403
miner: Initial if re	eference co	onsidered, whether or not citation is in conformance w	ith MDED and B	

is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and t considered. Include copy of this form with next communication.

nique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

rden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any nments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Ishington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents,

PTO/SB/08A (08-00) Apply of for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

#### Substitute for form 1449A/PTO **INFORMATION DISCLOSURE** STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Complete if Known					
Application Number 09/938,699					
Filing Date	August 23, 2001				
First Named Inventor	Raghavendra S. Prabhu				
Group Art Unit	2643				
Examiner Name	Unassigned				
Attorney Docket Number	42390P12533				

					_	,	Examiner Name		Unas	ssigned	
1 6	Shee	t	1		of	2	Attorney Docket	Number		00P12533	フ
Q						U.S. PATENT	DOCUMENTS				
SLE C	Examiner Initials*	Cite No.¹	U.S. Pater Number	Kind C	ode²	Name of Patente of Cited Do	e or Applicant	Date of Publical Cited Docum MM-DD-YYY	ent	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
VILAL											
PEST AVAILABLE											
57											
ij C						_				RECEI	VED
				_					<del>\</del>	DEC 1.0 Technology Ce	2002
									$\perp$	Technology Ce	<u>nte</u> r 2800
				_	$\dashv$		$\overline{}$				
				$\overline{}$							
											_
					$\rightarrow$			<del>\</del>			
			····		$\dashv$	$\overline{}$					
·									/		

	Cite		Foreign Patent Docum	ment	Name of Patentee or	Date of Publication of	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant	76
Examiner Initials*	No.1	Office <sup>3</sup>	Number*	Kind Code <sup>s</sup> (if known)	Applicant of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	'
Ka		wo	00/17856		Conexant Systems, Inc.	03-30-2000		
K25		EP	0 734 012	A2	Mitsubishi	09-25-1996		丄
<b>Y</b>		EP	0 384 490	A2	NEC Corporation	08-29-1990		
RS		EP	0 576 980	A2	Telefonaktiebolaget	01-05-1994		$\perp$
					_			
					T.			_

\*Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication.

'Unique citation designation number. 'See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. 'Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard S.3). 'For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 'Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. 'Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

EST AVAILABLE COPY

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

ENT & TRA

#### INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

Complete if Known Application Number 09/938,699 Filing Date August 23, 2001 First Named Inventor Raghavendra S. Prabhu Group Art Unit 2643 **Examiner Name** Unassigned

2 of 2 Attorney Docket Number Sheet 42390P12533

		OTHER ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²	
9		M. FELDER, J. MASON & B. EVANS; Efficient Dual-tone Multifrequency Detection		
De		Using the Nonuniform Discrete Fourier Transform, IEEE Signal Processing Letters, 7/1998		
		MINOLI & MINOLI; Chapter 5, Technology and Standards for Low-Bit-Rate Vocoding Methods; Delivering Voice Over IP Networks; 1998; pgs. 149-233; Robert Ipsen Pub.		
	•	Texas Instruments, SMJ320C80 Digital Signal Processor Data Sheet; document No. SGUS025; August 1998; Texas Instruments	RE(	CEIVED
		W. STALLINGS, Computer Organization and Architecture 4th Edition, pgs. 313-386, Prentice-Hall, Inc. New Jersey, 1993	DEC	DEIVED 1 0 2002
		R. FROMM, Instruction Set Architecture Simulation Infrastructure Development, www.ilpsoft.eecs.berkely.edu:9636/~9636/~ilpsoft/99abstracts/rfromm.1.html, 10/15/99	unolog	y Center 26
		Instructions Set Architecture, Web based course, wwwee.eng.hawaii.edu/~tep/EE461/Notes/ISA/isa.html, 10/15/99		
4		J. McCORMICK, Supporting Predicated Execution: Techniques and Tradeoffs, www.crhc.uiuc.edu/IMPACT/abstracts/report/ms-thesis-jim-mccormick.html, 10/15/99		
123		R65C00/21 Dual CMOS Microcomputer and R65C29 Dual CMOS Microprocessor; Rockwell 1984 Data Book; Rockwell International; Doc. No. 29651N64; pgs. 3-1 - 3-33.		
RD,		D. PATTERSON & J. HENNESSY, Computer Architecture A Quantitative Approach, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc., San Mateo, Ca 1990, pgs 142-143.		
Re		J. MICK & J. BRICK, Bit-Slice Microprocessor Design, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1990, pg. 191		
R		R. KAIN, Advanced Computer Architecture, A Systems Design Approach, Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Minnesota, Prentice Hall, NJ, pgs. 16-17.		
97		J. CAVANAGH, Digital Computer Arithmetic, Design and Implementation, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1994, pgs. 1-12.		
<b>P</b> 3		TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, DTMF Tone Generation and Detection An Implementation Using the TMS320C54x Application Report, SPRA096, June 1997		

Examiner Signature	Kam	Jal	Date Considered 4 2 4 0 3

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication

'Unique citation designation number. 'Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Under the Paperwork Reduct. Act of 1995, no person	Patent and Tr	PTO/SB/08A (08-00) April of for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 and Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE form. on unless it displays a valid OMB control number.				
Substitute for form 1449A/PTO		Complete if Known				
	Application Number	09/938,699				
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	August 23, 2001				
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Raghavendra S. Prabhu				

STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Attorney Docket Number

Group Art Unit

**Examiner Name** 

2643

Unassigned

of 2 1 42390P12533 **Sheet** U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant U.S. Patent Document Date of Publication of Name of Patentee or Applicant Examiner Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY Cite Kind Code<sup>2</sup> Passages or Relevant Number of Cited Document No. (if known) Figures Appear 06-28-1994 Novas, et al. 5,325,425 RECEIVED Technology Center 2800

Examiner Initials*	Cite No.¹		Foreign Patent Doc		Name of Patentee or	Date of Publication of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant	T-
		Office <sup>3</sup>	Number*	Kind Code <sup>s</sup> (if known)	Applicant of Cited Document	MM-DD-YYYY	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
KL		wo	99/29085		Northern Telecom Limited	06-10-1999		$\perp$
1 >		ļ	\					$\perp$
								+
								$\bot$
								+
		ļ		<b>\</b>				+
		<del></del>		$\rightarrow$				+
		<u> </u>		$\overline{}$			_	+
				-				+
						_	=	_
Examine		<u> </u>	19		Date	1 21	1 22	

\*Examiner. Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication.

'Unique citation designation number. 'See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. 'Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard S.3). 'For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 'Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. 'Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



### BEST AVAILABLE COLY

OTPE CO Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box -> +

PTO/S8/08B (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

DEC 0 9 2000

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

Sheet 2 of 2

Co	Complete if Known						
Application Number	09/938,699						
Filing Date	August 23, 2001						
First Named Inventor	Raghavendra S. Prabhu						
Group Art Unit	2643						
Examiner Name	Unassigned						
Attorney Docket Number	42390P12533						

or T	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	miner tials*
	TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, DTMF Tone Generation and Detection An Implementation Using the TMS320C54X, SPEA096, June 1997	8
EIV	RECE	
0 20	DEC 1 0	
Cente	Technology Cer	
	/	
	Date Date	miner

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication

'Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

					<b>BEST</b>	AVAILABLE	C	OP'	F		•	<b>%</b>	
sti	tute fo	r Form	1449A/PT	O (Modified)		Complete if Known  Application Number 09/938,699 Con Application Number 09/938,699 Con Application Number 08/23/2001 Con Application Number 08/23/2001 Con Application Number 08/23/2001 Con Application Number 04/23/2001 Con Application Number 08/23/2001 Con Application Number 08							
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE					Application Number			09/938	,699	Cop.	AL.		
						Filing Date			08/23/2	2001	10/0	0	2
/S	STATEMENTEBY APPLICANT					First Named Inver	ntor:		Ragha	vendi	dra S. Prabhar		
		fuse as	s many she	es necessa	iry)	Group Art Unit 2643				CONTO CO			
1		l local	R 3 0 2002	. <u></u>		Examiner Name	Examiner Name		Unassi	gned		e	22
Sheet		1/2 8		ig :	2	Attorney Docket Number		042390.P12533			9		
		(A)	, AR	7	U.S. PATE	NT DOCUMENTS	•						
Exam.	Cite		S. Patent	Document	Name of Pa	tentee or Applicant			Publicatio		Pages, Co		
Initial*	No.	Num Cod	nber e²	Kind (If known)	of Cite	ed Document		Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY		Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear			
Ks.		5,5	598,466		Gr	aumann		01/2	8/1997		3		
		1	\										
	ļ <u>-</u>	_	<del>/</del>						·				
		+	$\overline{}$			<del></del>				_			
			$\overline{}$							COP	Y OF PAPE	RS	——
					_					<del></del>	INALLY FIL	ED	
									-	_			
	ļ						$\overline{}$						
	ļ						-4				<del></del>		
					<del>\</del>								
								-					
									<del></del>				
		_				<del>\</del>				$\overline{}$	· <del></del>		
						<del>\</del>			<del></del>	$\overline{+}$			
		<del></del>	<del></del>					- ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7		
					T	ENT DOCUMEN	TS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Exam.				ntee or Applicant Date of Public Of Cited Document			3,,				T°		
Initial*	140.	Office <sup>3</sup>	Number	(If known)	of Cited	Document		MM-DD-YY		Pas	sages or Rel	evant	1
0 0		WO	00	17856	PCT		03	30-2000		!	Figures Appe	ar	
28		EP	0 734	012 A2	European Pate	nt Application		25-1996					
- 100		<u> </u>											
							1						
		·			-		-						
				-	-	/	<del> </del>		<del>}</del>	_			
	J	7									····		
Examir Signatu		1	Kon	W	Smy	$\wedge$		Date	Consid	erec	3		
								7	1				

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup>See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. <sup>3</sup>Enter Office that issued the document, by the twoatter code (WIPO Standard S.3). <sup>4</sup>For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of reign of the Emperor must precede the erial number of the patent document. <sup>5</sup>Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard 3T.16 if possible. <sup>6</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

lurden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual ase. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: ssistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



PTO/SB/08B (08-00) (n+) inside this box Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 063-0031

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449A/PTO Application Number 09/938,699 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE August 23, 2001 Filing Date First Named Inventor STATEMENT BY APPLICANT Raghavendra S. Prabhu Group Art Unit 2643 **Examiner Name** Unassigned Attorney Docket Number 42390P12533 of Sheet

Sneet		1 0. 1
		OTHER ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.'	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.
RS		Texas Instruments, Application Report SPRA482, Programmable Double Biquad Filter for Tone Detection on Fixed Point DSPs, February 1999, pgs. 1-25.
		FEB 2 5 2002 S MAR 0 4 2002
		Technology Center 2500
÷		
		0
Examine Signature		Ram Stron Date Considered 424/03

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication

'Unique citation designation number. 'Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.